

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/500,476	BACKER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Emily Bernhardt.

 (2) Mr. Myers.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 October 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,30 and 23 in particular

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In reviewing the after final amendment, it was pointed out that R1a as H has been re-inserted into the claims. As such the amendment would not be enterable since it would necessitate additional consideration and/or search for the expanded scope. It was agreed to delete "hydrogen," in the R1a choice in claims 1 and 30. It was also noted that claim 23 recites subject matter outside the scope of claim 1 as amended by the previous amendment. It was agreed to cancel claim 23. A subsequent voicemail was left for Mr. Myers regarding claim 11 which is now dependent on a cancelled claim (namely claim 10). It appears that "11" should be made dependent on "1". On 10/22/07 Mr Myers agreed to change "10" to "1" in claim 11.